

Understanding and exploiting phage-host interactions for enhanced phage therapy



Hannah Zhu^{1,2}, Shahla Kangachar³, Bradley Wright^{1,2}, Dominic Logel^{1,2}, Ellina Trofimova^{1,2}, Karen Weynberg³, Mark Molloy⁴, Paul Jaschke^{1,2*} ¹School of Natural Sciences, Macquarie University, Sydney, Australia

²ARC Centre of Excellence in Synthetic Biology, Macquarie University, Sydney, Australia

³Australian Centre for Ecogenomics, School of Chemistry and Molecular Biosciences, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia

⁴Kolling Institute, Northern Clinical School, The University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia

1. Introduction

- Uropathogenic Escherichia coli (UPEC) are a predominant cause of urinary tract infections (UTIs) globally, affecting 150 million people at a cost of USD\$3.5 billion annually¹, which presents a significant health, social, and economical burden.
- UPEC are classified as critical-priority bacteria due to their resistance to third-generation cephalosporin², making them an ideal target for phage therapy.
- Understanding the phage-host interactions will enable efficient selection of natural phages and their genetic engineering with enhanced capabilities.
- The objectives of this work are 1) to identify UPEC host factors involved in phage susceptibility, 2) engineer phage genomes with heterologous genetic functions to expand their host range against UPEC clinical strains.

2. Antiphage defence systems in UPEC genomes

- built a bioinformatics pipeline integrating $\mathsf{PADLOC}-\mathsf{DB}^3$, • We DefenseFinder⁴, and CRISPRDetect⁵ to identify host antiphage defence systems involved in phage susceptibility.
- We identified 77 families of antiphage defence systems in over 400 UPEC genomes from NCBI database (Fig. 1A).
- We discovered some host defence systems are more prevalent in UPEC compared to commensal *E. coli* (Fig. 1B), and that they seem to encode more antiphage defence systems per genome

3. Engineering phage to expand host range

- phage vB_EcoM_SHAK9454, a Newly isolated member of Autographiviridae, is an ideal candidate for phage therapy to treat UTIs due to its short life cycle and large burst size. Also, its T7-like genome enables easy manipulation.
- We measured its attachment efficiency againt 36 UPEC clinical strains, and we found that most of the failed infection events could be attributed to failed adsorption (Fig. 2), with the action of host defence systems likely accounting for the remaining cases.



FIG 1 Families of antiphage systems in UPEC genomes. (A) Frequency of systems in UPEC genomes (n=413). (B) Prevalent systems in UPEC and commensal E. coli genomes (n=2920). (C) Distribution of total number of antiphage systems per genome.



• We propose to assemble chimeric tail fibres and tail spikes to alter or expand this phage's host range (Fig. 3).

In parallel, we are developing methods to clone phage into yeast to enable their manipulation and augmentation with heterologous genetic functions.



FIG 3 Structures of chimeric tail fibre and tail spike proteins. vB_EcoM_SHAK9454 tail fibre protein (left) serves as a template, and its receptor binding domain is replaced by those of other phages (centre and right). Structural modelling by ColabFold⁶.

4. Understanding host requirements for phage replication

 Small heat shock proteins (sHsps) for future recovery and refolding, assisted by ATP-dependent folding chaperones^{7,8}. • Two sHsps, IbpA and IbpB, are highly upregulated during φX174 infection⁹. • The objective of this work is to IbpA/IbpB determine if are necessary for ϕ X174 replication.

Results

(A)

Burst size (PFU)

240

220

200

180

160

140

ibpA

ibpB

sequester partially folded proteins • We did not find significant difference in φX174 virulence, burst size, or latent period between wild type *E. coli* C122 and C122 $\Delta ibpA/B$ single knockout strains (Fig. 4A). Similarly, φX174 replication was unaffected by knockout/knockdown of *ibpA* or *ibpB* or both (Fig. 4B). sHsps are known to stabilise cell membrane through interactions with membrane lipids¹²⁻¹⁴. We propose that lbpA/B may provide transient protection to *E. coli* cell membrane integrity (Fig. 5), but they are ultimately overwhelmed by lysis protein production and burst of phage progeny.



Methods

- We used a hybrid approach of CRISPR interference (CRISPRi)^{10,11} and genomic knockouts to disrupt *ibpA/B* genes.
- We performed in vitro bacterial killing assay to assess ϕ X174 virulence, and one-step growth experiment to measure its burst size and latent period.

FIG 5 Proposed role of IbpA/B during ϕ X174 infection.

0.24

ibpA

ns



CRISPRi

FIG 4 Comparison of ϕ X174 burst size and latent period upon infection against (A) wild type *E. coli* C122 and single knockout strains, and (B) CRISPRi-mediated *ibpA/B* knockdown strains. Brackets with numbers above refer to Student's two-tailed t-test p-values.

REFERENCES

1. Flores-Mireles, A.L., et al. Nat Rev Microbiol, 2015. 13(5): p. 269-84. 2. Tacconelli, E., et al. Lancet Infect Dis, 2018. 18(3): p. 318-327. 3. Payne, L.J., et al. Nucleic Acids Research, 2021. 49(19): p. 10868-10878. 4. Tesson, F., et al. Nat Commun, 2022. 13(1): p. 2561. 5. Biswas, A., et al. BMC Genomics, 2016. 17(1): p. 356. 6. Mirdita, M., et al. Nature Methods, 2022. 19(6): p. 679-682. 7. Mogk, A., et al. Kampinga. Molecular cell, 2018. 69(2): p. 214-226.

8. Baneyx, F. & M. Mujacic. Nature biotechnology, 2004. 22(11): p. 1399-1408. 9. Wright, B.W., et al. mSystems, 2021. 6(3). 10. Qi, Lei S., et al. Cell, 2013. 152(5): p. 1173-1183. 11. Bikard, D., et al. Nucleic acids research, 2013. 41(15): p. 7429-7437. 12. Török, Z., et al. PNAS, 2001. 98(6): p. 3098-3103. 13. Maitre, M., et al. Biochemical journal, 2012. 444(1): p. 97-104. 14. Roy, M., et al. Biomembranes, 2018. 1860(12): p. 2549-2565.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

ns

ibpA

ibpB

ns

We recognise that this research was conducted on the traditional lands of the Wallumattagal clan of the Darug nation. This reasearch is supported by NHMRC Ideas Grant APP118539 and the Australian Government's Research Training Program (RTP) Scholarship. I would like to thank my supervisor Dr Paul Jaschke for providing expertise and mentorship.

CRISPRi

ns

ibpB